

# **Historic, Archive Document**

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



serve  
9  
34M  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS  
WASHINGTON, D. C.

March 17, 1952

FLUID MILK AND CREAM REPORT

FOR MARCH 1952

Retail milk prices increased in early March in 13 markets or about 1 in every 9 reporting, the Bureau of Agricultural Economics reported today. For the second consecutive month, New York City was the only major market to report a decline at the retail level (one-half cent per quart). Producer price increases were indicated in 18 markets or about 1 in every 6 reporting. Class I prices were reported lower in 3 markets. However, late reports from several markets in which March producer prices are not yet known are expected to show price decreases. A year ago, price movements at retail and producer levels were up in about 6 percent of the markets reporting.

Retail prices for standard grade milk delivered to homes in 25 major cities early in March averaged 23.2 cents per quart. This average price is one-tenth of 1 cent per quart higher than in February and 1.5 cents per quart higher than in March 1951. It is 4.3 cents per quart higher than the March 1946-50 average for this sample of cities.

The milk dealers' average buying price for Class I milk of 3.5 percent butterfat in more than 100 cities early in March averaged \$5.47 per hundredweight. This average is 1 cent per hundredweight less than in February and is 38 cents higher than in March 1951. Regional changes from a month ago indicate that the Pacific division average price increased 6 cents per hundredweight. The Mountain, New England, and East and West North Central regions were at about the level of February. The other geographic regions showed decreases from a month earlier as follows: East South Central, 7 cents per hundredweight; West South Central, 6 cents; Middle Atlantic, 4 cents; and South Atlantic, 3 cents. Prices in all regions averaged higher than last March, the increases ranging from 13 cents in the South Atlantic Region to 73 cents per hundredweight in the Pacific Coast States.

In the New England area, prices at both retail and producer levels were steady for the second consecutive month. In the Middle Atlantic division, Binghamton  
(Continued on page 10)

Table 1.-Dealers' Buying and Selling Prices in March 1952\*

Markets	DEALERS' BUYING PRICES (F.O.B. CITY)				WHOLESALE		RETAIL				Stores Multiple quart tainers Cents	Fat test of milk most commonly sold by dealers Percent
	Used for FLUID MILK 1/2		Used for CREAM		Bulk per gallon Cents	Bottled per quart Cents	Delivered to homes		Per single quart Cents			
	Paid for basic fat test per cwt. Dollars	Allowance 1/10% butter-fat Cents	Paid for or adjusted to 3.5% fat per cwt. Dollars	3.5% fat basis per cwt. Dollars			Discount prices 2-qt. tainers Cents	Other Cents				
Alabama (S)												
Birmingham	6.565	7.0	6.22	6.36	22 1/2	88	22 1/2	25	-	-	25	3.7-4.2
Mobile	6.715	7.0	6.36	6.36	22 1/2	-	22 1/2	25	-	-	25	4.0
Montgomery	6.215	6.0	5.92	-	21 1/4	-	21 1/4	24	-	-	24	4.0
Arizona												
Phoenix	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	41	-	21	3.25
Tucson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	-	23	-
Arkansas												
Little Rock	6.25	10.0	5.75	-	20 1/2	74	20 1/2	23	-	-	23	3.5-4.0
California (S)												
Fresno	5.48	10.0	5.18	-	18 1/2	74	18 1/2	21 1/2	-	-	20 1/2	3.55-3.65
Los Angeles	6.05	10.0	5.75	-	18 1/2	68-70	18 1/2	21 1/2	-	-	21	3.55
Sacramento	5.47	10.0	5.17	-	18 1/2	65	18 1/2	20 1/2	-	-	20 1/2	3.55
San Diego	6.15	10.0	5.85	-	19 1/2	79	19 1/2	22	-	-	22	3.65
San Francisco	5.80	10.0	5.50	-	19	76	19	22 1/2	-	-	21 1/2	3.55
Santa Barbara	6.06	10.0	5.76	-	20	80	20	23	-	-	22	3.7
Colorado												
Colorado Springs	D. R.	15.4	2/5.39	5.39	20 1/2	79	20 1/2	23	-	-	22-23	3.6
Denver	D. R.	16.4	5.74	5.25	20	79	20	23	45	-	22	-
Pueblo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Connecticut(S)**												
Hartford	6.48	7.0	6.34	3/4.28	4/21-22	84	4/21-22	24	-	-	4/23-24	3.7-3.8
New Haven	6.48	7.0	6.34	5/4.28	21-22	-	21-22	24	-	-	23 1/2-24	3.7
Dist. of Columbia												
Washington	6.60	8.0	6.60	-	21	81	21	23 1/2	-	5/21 1/2-22 1/2	21-26	3.7-4.0
Florida (S)												
Jacksonville	6.86	4-4.5	6.86	-	24	90	24	26	-	-	26	4.0-4.5
Miami	6.97-7.09	5.8	6.68-6.80	-	24	92	24	26	51	-	26	4.0-4.4
Georgia												
Atlanta	6.66	6.0	6.36	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	25	-
Savannah	7.46	6.0	6.98	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	27	-
Idaho	D. R.	13.0	4.55	3.96	4/18-19	69	4/18-19	4/20-21	39	-	4/20-21	3.8
Illinois	-	-	4.98	4.68	21-21 1/2	76-78	21-21 1/2	23	44	18/22 1/2	23 1/2	3.5
Alton	6/4.984	-	4.98	4.68	21-21 1/2	-	21-21 1/2	25 1/2	50	7/92	23 1/2	3.5-3.6
Chicago (F)												
Rock Island (F)	5.184	11.7	5.18	11/5.18	18	70	18	21	-	-	20 1/2-21	3.5
Springfield	5/5.45	9 up	5.10	5.10	20	76	20	23	45	10/21-22	22-23	3.5
Indiana												
Indianapolis	D. R.	Not determined	5.43	4.94	4/20 1/2-21	-	4/20 1/2-21	23	-	-	22-23	3.8
Evansville	-	15.51	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	-	4/23-24	-
Ft. Wayne (F)	Not determined	-	4.98	4.68	4/20 1/2-21 1/2	77	4/20 1/2-21 1/2	22	-	-	21-22	3.5
Gary (F)	12/4.984	11.4	5.08	11/5.08	19	74	19	24	47	-	22 1/2-24	-
Iowa	5.084	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-	-	-
South Bend (F)	5.21	-	5.21	-	17	68	17	4/20-21	-	-	19	3.7
Burlington	-	-	-	-	18	70	18	21	-	-	4/20-21	3.7
Des Moines	-	-	-	-	18	-	18	21	41	-	4/20-21	3.5-3.7
Sioux City (F)												



Kansas (F)	6.365	3.8	10.8	6.04	11/6.04	20 1/2	23	22-23	3.6-3.8
Kansas City	6.237	3.8	Not determined			21 1/2	24	24	3.5
Topeka	13/6.435	3.8	Not determined			-	25	23-25	3.5-3.6
Kentucky		Not determined							
Louisville (F)	6.58	Not determined				28 1/2	25	24	3.8
New Orleans (F)	6.31	4	11.3	6.02	11/6.02	4/22-22 1/2	25	24	3.8
Maine (S)		3.7	8.0	6.15	3.83	4/20 1/2-21 1/2	4/23-24	4/23-24	4.0
Maryland	6.30	3.5	8.4	6.20	"	21 1/2	23 1/2	22 1/2	4.0
Massachusetts (F-S)**	6.17	3.7	10.7 est.	5.96	3/4.57	20 1/2	23 1/2-24	22-24	3.7-3.8
Boston	6.46	3.7	10.7 est.	6.25	3/4.25	20 1/2	23	4/22-23	3.79
Fall River	6.17	3.7	10.7 est.	5.96	-	20 1/2	23	-	3.7
Lowell-Lawrence	6.17	3.7	10.7 est.	5.96	3/4.25	20	23	21 1/2	3.7
Springfield	6.17	3.7	10.7 est.	5.96	3/4.25	20 1/2	23 1/2	22	3.7
Worcester	6.17	3.7	10.7 est.	5.96	3/4.25	20 1/2	23 1/2		
Michigan	5.20	3.5	9.0	5.20	4.70	-	21	-	-
Battle Creek		Not determined				19	22 1/2	20-22 1/2	40-43
Detroit (F)		3.5	8.5	4.75	4.75	17	20	15/19-20	37
Grand Rapids	4.75	3.5	7.5	5.22	4.72	18 1/2	21	21	41
Kalamazoo	5.225	3.5		5.32	11/5.32	19	22	20-22	3.5
Minnesota	5.321	3.5	11.5			18	21	17-19	3.5
Duluth (F)		Not determined				17 1/2	20	17-20	3.5
Minneapolis (F)		Not determined				17	19	-	-
St. Paul (F)		3.5	8.0	4.26	11/4.26	20 1/2	23	21-23	3.5-3.8
Winona	4.26	3.8	10.8	6.04	11/6.04	20-21	23-24	22-24 8/43-46	3.5
Missouri	6.365	3.5	10.4	5.53	11/5.53	64-66 4/17-18	4/20-21	4/20-21	-
Kansas City	5.526	-	14.0	4.90	-	64 4/16 1/2-17 1/2	4/19-20	4/19-20	4.0
St. Louis	D. R.	-	-	-	-	74	22	21	3.5-3.8
Montana (S)		-	-	-	-	18.9	23	21	4.0
Butte		3.7	10.7 est.	5.96	-	20	4/23-24	4/23-24	3.7
Lewistown	6.17	3.7	10.7 est.	5.96	-	4/20 1/2-21 1/2	23	23	3.6
Nebraska		3.5	6.0	5.87	3.14	23	25 1/2	-	3.8
Omaha (F)		3.5	5.0	5.87	-	21	23 1/2	-	-
New Hampshire (S)		3.5	6.0	5.87	-	-	24 1/2	23	-
Concord		Not determined			-	-	23	23	-
Man.-Nash.-Psmth.	6.17	3.5	4.0	4.90	-	-	23	4/23-24	4.1
New Jersey (S)**	6.20	3.5	7.0	6.20	19/4.45	19 1/2	23	22-23	3.8
Atlantic City	6.22-6.46	3.5	4.0	6.22-6.46	19/4.45	4/20 1/2-21	24	22-23	3.5-3.7
Camden	6.20	3.5	7.0	6.20	19/4.45	18-22	24 1/2	19-23 1/2	-
Newark		-	-	-	-	4/21-22	24	4/23-24	3.6
New York		-	-	-	-	-	23	23	3.65
Albany	4.895	Not determined			-	-	23	23	-
Binghamton	6.20	3.5	4.0	4.90	-	-	23	4/23-24	4.1
Buffalo (S)**		3.5	7.0	6.20	19/4.45	19 1/2	23	22-23	3.8
New York (F-S)**	6.22-6.46	3.5	4.0	6.22-6.46	19/4.45	4/20 1/2-21	24	22-23	3.5-3.7
Rochester (S)**	6.20	3.5	7.0	6.20	19/4.45	18-22	24 1/2	19-23 1/2	-
Schenectady		-	-	-	-	4/21-22	24	4/23-24	3.6
North Carolina		-	-	-	-	-	23	23	3.65
Durham	6.00	4	5.0	5.75	-	4/22-23	4/24-25	4/24-25	4.0
Winston-Salem	6.15	4	6 up	5.90	-	22	24	24	-
North Dakota		-	13.1	4.58	-	18	20	20	3.5
Fargo-Moorhead	D. R.	-	12.3	4.30	-	15	18	15-18	3.5
Grand Forks	D. R.	-	12.3	4.30	-	15	18	15-18	3.5

The prices listed are the latest reported to the Bureau of Agricultural Economics up to and including the tenth of the month.

Currency	D. No.	1920	% 30	19	15	10	1918
The prices listed are the latest reported to the Bureau of Agricultural Economics up to and including the tenth of the month.							

Table 1.--(Cont'd) Dealers' Buying and Selling Prices in March 1952\*

Markets	DEALERS' BUYING PRICES (F.O.B. CITY)				WHOLESALE				RETAIL				Fat test of milk most commonly sold by dealers Percent
	Paid for milk of basic fat test per cwt. Dollars	Basic fat test Pct.	Allow- ance 1/10% butter- fat Cents	Paid for or adjust- ed to 3.5% fat per cwt. Dollars	Used for FLUID CREAM 3.5% fat basis per cwt. Dollars	Bulk per gallon Cents	Bottled per quart Cents	Per single quart Cents	Delivered to homes		Stores Multiple quart con- tainers Cents		
									Discount prices	Other Cents			
Ohio	5.20	3.5	-	5.20	5.20	64	17	20	18-20	14/18	8/34	3.5	
Alton		Not determined										3.5	
Canton		Not determined										3.7	
Cincinnati (F)		Not determined										3.5	
Cleveland (F)		Not determined										3.8	
Columbus (F)		Not determined										3.8	
Dayton (F)		Not determined										3.5	
Toledo (F)		Not determined											
Oklahoma (F)		Not determined											
Muskogee	6.481	4	10.4	5.96	11/5.96			25 1/2	24 1/2		49	-	
Oklahoma City	6.481	4	10.4	5.96	11/5.96							-	
Tulsa	6.481	4	10.4	5.96	11/5.96							-	
Oregon	20/		10.0	6.34									
Klamath Falls	20/		10.8	6.05									
Portland & Salem			5.0	5.60									
Pennsylvania (S)	5.85	4	6.0	5.62								3.5-3.8	
Harrisburg Zone I	5.92	4	5.0	5.99	3/4.26							3.5-3.8	
Johnstown	6.24	4	5.0	5.80	4.15							3.7-3.9	
Philadelphia (F)	6.05	4	5.0	5.80	4.15							3.7	
Pittsburgh & McKeesport	5.92	3.7	10.5 est.	6.71								3.65	
Rhode Island (S)	6.67	3.7	10.5 est.	6.46	3/3.57								
Newport	6.35	4	7.0	6.00						14/21		4.0	
Providence	5.00	3.5	5.0	5.00								3.4	
South Carolina													
Charleston													
Greenville													
South Dakota													
Aberdeen													
Sioux Falls													
Tennessee (F)	6.66	4	10.9	6.12	11/6.12							4.0	
Knoxville	21/6.68	4	10.4	6.16	11/6.16							4.0	
Memphis	21/6.60	4	Not determined									3.7	
Nashville													
Texas													
Dallas (F)	6.63	4	10.4	6.11	11/6.11							3.69	
El Paso	2/7.00	4	7.0	6.65	6.65							3.7	
Fort Worth (F)	6.63	4	10.4	6.11	11/6.11							4.0	
Galveston	2/7.56	4	7.0	7.21	7.21							4.0	
Houston	2/7.56	4	7.0	7.21	7.21							3.5	
Utah													
Salt Lake City	5.565	3.5	15.9 down 9 up	5.56	5.56							4.0	
Vermont (S)		Not determined										3.7	
Bellows Falls		Not determined											
Burlington		Not determined											



Virginia (S)	6.50	3.5	..	6.50	81	21	23½	22/21-22½	21½	43	..
Alex.-Arl'ton	6.50	4	5.0	6.25	72	22	24	25/23	24	..	..
N'flk.-ptsmouth	6.62	4	6.5	6.30	78	21	23	..	..	..	3.7
Richmond	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Washington	2/6.45	4	9.0	6.00	80	20½	23	..	20-23	..	3.7
Bremerton	6.44	4	11.3	5.88	80	20	23	..	22-23	..	3.5
Everett (F)	6.44	4	11.3	5.88	82	20½	23	14/22	22	..	3.5
Seattle (F)	5.96	4	..	..	74	4/19½-19¾	22	..	22	44	3.6
Spokane	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
West Virginia	D. R.	..	15.9	5.56	..	22	24½	..	24½	47	3.8
Charleston (F)	..	Not determined	..	..	84	4/21-22	23½	..	23½	..	4.0
Huntington (F)	..	..	..	..	78	19½	22	..	22	..	4.0
Wheeling	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Wisconsin	4.984	3.5	10.0	4.98	74	19	22	7/74	..	..	3.5
Beloit	..	Not determined	..	..	73	..	21	14/20	21	42	3.65
Kenosha	5.11	3.5	5 up	5.11	..	..	20½	..	19½	..	..
Madison	4.944	3.5	10.0	4.94	65-66	18½	21	..	..	41	3.65
Milwaukee (F)	..	..	..	..	72	18½	21	..	..	41	3.6
Racine	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Wyoming	..	..	..	..	64	17½	20	..	20	..	3.5
Cheyenne	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

\* The prices listed are the latest reported to the Bureau of Agricultural Economics up to and including the tenth of the month (S) Under State Control Board. Where only State is marked, all cities shown are under State Control Board.

(S)\*\*\* Producer price only under State Control.

(F) Under Federal Control. Where only State is marked, all cities shown are under Federal Control.

D. R. Indicates that payment is made in direct ratio to butterfat content.

1/ Class I or base prices except as otherwise noted.

2/ Flat price.

3/ February price.

4/ The higher price for paper containers.

5/ Quarts E.O.D. 1¢ for 6, 2¢ for 12 in Wash. D. C.; 6¢ in Fall River;

8¢ in Concord.

6/ 55-70 mile zone.

7/ Gallon price.

8/ Gallon price 81¢ in Chicago, 88-90¢ in St. Louis, 65¢ in Akron.

9/ Effective February 1.

10/ 1¢ for 21 quarts a week; 2¢ for 28.

11/ Milk for fluid cream in Class I.

12/ Grade A price: Grade B 10¢ less.

13/ Negotiated price: Minimum order price \$6.237 for 3.8%.

14/ Quarts per month: 1¢ for 60, 2¢ for all over 60 in Detroit; 90

in Grand Rapids, Akron and Seattle; 80 in Duluth; 120 in

Greenville, and 85 in Kenosha.

15/ 3 quarts for 56¢ in paper.

16/ For each additional quart on same delivery.

17/ Price paid in Delaware.

18/ 1¢ for 3 quarts, 2¢ for 4 or more in Binghamton; 4 quarts or more in Alton.

19/ Skim milk diff. not included. February diff. was 60.0¢ and 67.5¢

for Buffalo and Rochester, respectively.

20/ Prevailing price: \$1.00 and \$1.08 per pound butterfat plus \$2.84 and \$2.27 per cwt. of milk purchased at Klamath Falls and Portland, respectively.

21/ Negotiated price.

22/ 1¢ for 3 quart delivery; 2¢ for 6.

23/ 3 quarts daily during a settlement period.





Table 3.-Dealers' Buying Prices for Standard Grade Milk Page 7  
Used for City Distribution as Milk and Cream (3.5% butterfat basis)  
Per hundredweight

(a) By geographic divisions, for delivery F.O.B. local shipping point or country plant, March 1952 with comparisons 1/

Division	March 1952			Prices compared for same markets			
	Markets	Range	Average	Markets	March 1952	February 1952	March 1951
	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Number	average	average	average
					Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England	16	4.95-6.36	5.81	16	5.81	5.83	5.66
Middle Atl'c	13	5.20-6.20	5.63	13	5.63	5.67	5.37
E.N. Central	26	4.50-5.48	4.94	26	4.94	*4.93	4.51
W.N. Central	19	4.05-5.87	4.85	19	4.85	*4.87	4.32
S. Atlantic	20	5.05-6.86	6.05	20	6.05	*6.08	5.92
E.S. Central	8	5.00-6.29	5.65	8	5.65	*5.72	5.08
W.S. Central	9	5.66-6.26	6.14	9	6.14	6.20	5.63
Mountain	9	4.43-6.05	5.30	9	5.30	5.30	4.92
Pacific	11	4.99-6.00	5.51	11	5.51	5.45	4.78
UNITED STATES	131	4.05-6.96	5.47	131	5.47	*5.48	5.09

\* Revised.

(b) By cities where part of milk is purchased through country stations  
March 1952

City	Buying price for raw milk (3.5% butterfat basis)		Freight zone or rate per cwt. to which country station prices apply
	F.O.B. City	At country stations	
	per cwt.	per cwt.	
	Dollars	Dollars	
Boston, Mass.	2/5.96	5.44	191-210 mile zone
New York, N. Y.	3/6.22-6.46	5.60	201-210 mile zone (31½¢ rate)
Philadelphia, Pa.	5.99	5.65	61-70 mile zone
Pittsburgh, Pa.	5.80	5.48	All beyond 65 miles
Baltimore, Md.	6.35	6.04	All beyond 20 miles
Washington, D. C.	6.60	6.42	All beyond 35 miles
Birmingham, Ala.	2/6.22	5.72	50¢ rate

1/ Includes Class I prices, base or basic price and flat prices where effective.

2/ Adjusted to 3.5% basis by use of estimated butterfat differential.

3/ 200-mile zone price +31½¢ to 36¢ freight +30¢ to 50¢ country station handling charges.

Table 4.-March Prices for Special Grades of Milk, Cottage Cheese, and Cream 1/

CITIES	Special grades of milk retail per quart Cents	Certified milk retail per quart Cents	Cottage cheese (creamed) retail per 12-oz. pkg. Cents	TABLE CREAM				WHIPPING CREAM			
				Wholesale per gal. Dollars	Per pint To Homes Stores Cents	Per 1/2 pint To At Homes Stores Cents	Wholesale per gal. Dollars	Per pint To Homes Stores Cents	Per 1/2 pint To At Homes Stores Cents		
Albany, N. Y.	25-27	28	20	2.53-2.68	-	24	4.60-4.80	-	40	40	
Atlantic City, N. J.	28 1/2-29 1/2	32	2/27	-	47	26	-	84	44	-	
Baltimore, Md.	24 1/2-25 1/2	-	25	2.53	-	26	4.75	-	39	39	
Birmingham, Ala.	26	-	26	-	40	22	-	60	32	32	
Boise, Idaho	28-28 1/2	-	2/29	3/ .75	-	26	3/1.27	-	39	39	
Boston, Mass.	25-26	31 1/2	2/33	2.70 1/2-2.78 1/2	44	24	4.55 1/2-4.71 1/2	69	37-37 1/2	35 1/2	
Buffalo, N. Y.	27 1/2-29	-	20	3.06-3.16	49	27	4.92	77	41	40 1/2	
Cheyenne, Wyo.	-	-	21	-	40	21	-	80	42	42	
Chicago, Ill.	27 1/2-29	29 1/2-30	26	3.53	65	34	5.69	-	44 1/2	39 1/2-42	
Cincinnati, Ohio	24-25	-	24	-	-	25	-	-	35	35	
Dallas, Texas	25 1/2	-	27	3.21	-	21	-	-	32	32	
Denver, Colo.	24	-	27	2.42	-	25	5.82	-	44	43	
Des Moines, Ia.	21	-	23	3.40	-	21	-	-	38	38	
Duluth, Minn.	23	29	25	-	-	-	4.80	73	36	36-37	
El Paso, Texas	27	-	26	-	-	24	-	-	45	44-45	
Grand Rapids, Mich.	21-22	-	21	2.88	-	22	5.76	-	44	42	
Greenville, S. C.	24	-	23	-	-	25	-	-	40	-	
Hartford, Conn.	25-28	26 1/2-33	2/31	2.66-2.72	46-48	24-25	5.06-5.10	69	38-40	35-39	
Jacksonville, Fla.	27-28	-	25	3/.85	-	28	3/1.30	-	42	42	
Kansas City, Kans.	24	-	25	3/.86	-	24	3/1.72	-	47	46-47	
Los Angeles, Calif.	23-25	26-26 1/2	-	2.82	46	25	4.74	-	39 1/2	-	
Miami, Fla.	27	-	23-24	3.20	56	28	5.00	-	42	42	
Milwaukee, Wis.	23	-	2/28	-	-	27 1/2	-	64	37	-	
Minneapolis, Minn.	22	-	23	-	35	18 1/2	-	45	23	23	
Nashville, Tenn.	23	-	2/27	2.40	-	19	-	-	29	29	
New Orleans, La.	26-27	31	24	2.96	-	23	3.60	-	29	29	
New York, N. Y.	26 1/2	34 1/2	4/19 1/2	2.48	-	24	3.70	-	38	28 1/2-40 1/2	
Omaha, Nebr.	23-24 1/2	-	22	3.27	-	24 1/2	4.44	-	43 1/2	42 1/2	
Philadelphia, Pa.	26	31 1/2-32 1/2	-	3.27	-	24 1/2	-	-	41	40	
Pittsburgh, Pa.	23-25	-	2/27	2.96	44	24	5.04	75	73	40 1/2	
Portland, Oreg.	24-26	-	-	2.96	44	24	4.04	62	62	32 1/2	
Providence, R. I.	26	28	-	3.08	44	25	4.28	61	61	34	
Richmond, Va.	24-26	-	25	3/.66	46	24	3/1.25	71	68	35	
Rochester, N. Y.	25-26	-	21	2.60	45	26	3.95	67	38	-	
St. Louis, Mo.	23 1/2-25	-	20-23	3.12	49	27	5.88	81	43	42	
Salt Lake City, Utah	23	-	2/26	2.80	43	26	4.64-4.72	65	36-38	36-38	
Seattle, Wash.	25	-	3/31	3.24	48	26	4.67	68	37	35	
Sioux City, Ia.	22	-	21	-	-	22	-	-	40	40	
Washington, D. C.	24 1/2-25 1/2	-	25	2.60	40	23	5.20	78	42	42-44	
Wheeling, W. Va.	23-24	-	20	2.82	41	23	-	-	40	40	

1/ Reported wholesale and retail prices of products delivered to wholesale buyers' places of business, to homes or retail customers, and sold through stores, as indicated. Special grades refer to milk of different quality than that commonly sold in cities designated.

1/ Reported wholesale and retail prices of products delivered to wholesale buyers' places of business, to homes or retail customers, and sold through stores, as indicated. Special grades refer to milk of different quality than that commonly sold in cities designated.

2/ Price per pound.

3/ Price per quart.

4/ Price per 8-oz. pkg.



Table 5.-Minimum Blend Prices, Pool or Average of Individual Handler Prices and Class I Prices, f.o.b. Marketing Area or Other Indicated Points, in Federal Order Markets, January 1952

Marketing Area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars
Boston, 201-210 mile zone	3.7	5.25	10.5	5.04	5.44
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City	3.5	4.42	9.5	4.42	4.50
Chicago Grade A 55-70 mile zone <sup>1/</sup>	3.5	4.43	9.5	4.43	4.57
Cincinnati	3.5	<u>2/</u> 5.85	8.0	5.85	5.94
Cleveland	<u>3/</u> 3.5	5.20	10.4	5.20	<u>4/</u> 5.47
Columbus	<u>3/</u> 3.5	5.19	10.5	5.19	5.27
Dayton-Springfield Grade A	<u>3/</u> 3.5	5.63	9.9	5.63	5.76
" " Non-Grade A	3.5	5.41	9.9	5.41	5.51
Detroit	3.5	5.40	8.5	5.40	5.62
Dubuque	3.5	4.35	9.5	4.35	4.46
Duluth-Superior	3.5	<u>7/</u> 4.83	9.6	4.83	5.09
Fall River, 20 mile zone	3.7	<u>*6.28</u>	10.5	6.07	6.25
Fort Wayne	4.0	5.50	9.1	5.04	5.32
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	3.8	<u>7/</u> 6.29	8.3	6.04	5.78
Knoxville	4.0	6.31	9.5	5.84	5.89
Lima	<u>3/</u> 3.5	4.94	11.3	4.94	5.12
Louisville	3.8	<u>7/</u> 6.33	8.5	6.08	5.77
Lowell-Lawrence, 20 mile zone	3.7	<u>5/</u> 6.17	10.5	5.96	5.96
Memphis	4.0	<u>*6.56</u>	8.5	6.14	6.19
Milwaukee	3.5	<u>*4.48</u>	9.5	4.48	4.53
Minneapolis-St. Paul	3.5	<u>*4.46</u>	9.7	4.46	4.53
Muskogee	4.0	6.02	9.5	5.54	5.70
Nashville	4.0	<u>7/</u> 5.79	9.5	5.32	5.45
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	4.0	5.68	9.5	5.20	5.30
New Orleans, 61-70 mile zone	<u>3/</u> 4.0	<u>*6.17</u>	10.7	5.64	5.92
New York, 201-210 mile zone	3.5	5.14	6.2	5.14	5.97
North Texas	4.0	6.29	9.5	5.82	5.86
Oklahoma City	4.0	6.48	9.5	6.00	6.15
Omaha-Council Bluffs	<u>3/</u> 3.8	5.34	10.0	5.04	5.09
Paducah	4.0	6.17	8.5	5.74	5.69
Philadelphia	4.0	<u>*5.95</u>	5.0	5.70	5.99
Puget Sound, Wash.	4.0	5.91	10.2	5.40	5.68
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.	3.5	4.70	10.8	4.70	4.77
Rockford-Freeport Grade A	3.5	4.47	9.5	4.47	4.55
St. Louis	3.5	<u>*5.28</u>	9.5	5.28	5.30
Sioux City	<u>3/</u> 3.5	5.06	9.5	5.00	5.01
South Bend-LaPorte	<u>3/</u> 3.5	4.70	9.5	4.70	4.67
Springfield, Mass.	3.7	<u>5/</u> 6.10	10.5	5.89	5.96
Springfield, Mo.	3.5	4.98	9.5	4.98	5.03
Toledo	3.5	<u>*5.57</u>	9.5	5.57	5.67
Topeka	3.8	<u>7/</u> 6.18	8.3	5.93	5.69
Tri-State (Ky., Ohio, W. Va.)					
Huntington Plants	3.5	<u>8/</u> 5.98	9.5	5.98	6.01
Tulsa	4.0	6.11	9.5	5.64	5.70
Wichita	3.8	<u>6/</u> 5.86	9.5	5.58	5.70
Worcester	3.7	<u>5/</u> 6.13	10.5	5.92	5.96

\* Individual-handler pool-weighted average of handlers' prices.

<sup>1/</sup> Grade B prices; Classes I and II 10 cents less.

<sup>2/</sup> Grade B, \$5.45.

<sup>3/</sup> This market is on a butterfat and skim milk basis; prices reported here computed for the butterfat test shown.

<sup>4/</sup> Class I (cream) \$5.02.

<sup>5/</sup> Farms located in nearby zones.

<sup>6/</sup> Weighted average prices: Wichita, base, \$5.98; excess, \$5.13.

<sup>7/</sup> After payback of 54.5 cents in Duluth-Superior; 28.4 cents in Kansas City; 46 cents in Louisville; 58.3 cents in Nashville; 24 cents in Topeka.

<sup>8/</sup> Prices at plants other than Huntington 20 cents less.



Table 6. Net Prices Paid Members of Producers' Associations 1/

City	Net blended prices paid for 3.5% milk per cwt. 2/ Dollars	Month	Dealers' buying prices for Class I milk per cwt. Dollars	City	Net blended prices paid for 3.5% milk per cwt. 2/ Dollars	Month	Dealers' buying prices for Class I milk per cwt. Dollars
Denver, Colo.	5.18	Feb.	5.74	Akron, Ohio	5.20	Jan.	5.00
Hartford, New Haven, Bridgeport, Conn.	6.01	Jan.	6.34	Canton, Ohio	5.05	Jan.	5.40
Washington, D. C.	5.73	Jan.	6.60	Pittsburgh, Pa.	5.45	Jan.	5.80
Evansville, Ind.	5.70	Jan.	5.43	Providence, R. I.	6.30	Jan.	6.46
Baltimore, Md.	5.82	Jan.	6.35	Richmond, Va.	5.82	Jan.	6.30
				" "	5.84	Feb.	6.30
				Charleston, W. Va.	5.76	Jan.	6.05

1/ Net prices reported paid to members of producers' associations supplying the cities listed. Dealers' buying prices for such cities are usually specified in two or more classes: Class I or milk for fluid consumption; Class II or milk from which cream is obtained for fluid consumption as cream; and one or more other classes covering milk used in the production of various manufactured dairy products. In some cities a base and surplus plan is used in conjunction with the classified price system. 2/ F.O.B. city unless otherwise indicated.

(Continued from page 1)

reported a drop of 30 cents per hundredweight in prices to producers but no change at retail. The New York City market, operating under a Federal-State order, experienced declines at the retail and producer levels of  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent per quart and 21 cents per hundredweight respectively.

Several price changes -- all upward -- were reported from the East North Central States. However, Class I prices not determined currently in many markets in this area are expected to be on the down side. The Chicago, Ill. and Gary, Ind. markets reported increases of  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent per quart at retail and 32 cents per hundredweight to producers. Rock Island, Ill. reported an increase of 32 cents in Class I prices and 1 cent at retail. Battle Creek, Mich. reported a 27 cents per hundredweight increase in Class I prices but no change in retail prices. In Wisconsin, Beloit, Madison, and Milwaukee reported an increase of 1 cent per quart in retail prices and increases in Class I prices. In Kenosha and Racine, where March Class I prices were not available, retail prices were reported up 1 cent per quart and  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent per quart respectively.

Price increases were also reported from the West North Central Region. The Kansas City, Kans.-Mo., market reported producer prices 18 cents per hundredweight higher than in February. Retail prices in both these cities were unchanged. St. Louis, Mo. reported a Class I price increase of 18 cents per hundredweight, with no change in home delivered prices. Retail prices increased 1 cent per quart in

(Continued on page 16)

Table 7.-Prevailing Prices of Standard Grade Milk 1/  
Delivered to Homes in 25 Cities  
March 1952 with comparisons 2/  
(Cents per single quart)

Market	Mar. 1952	Feb. 1952	Mar. 1951	Mar. 1946-50 Av.	Market	Mar. 1952	Feb. 1952	Mar. 1951	Mar. 1946-50 Av.
Boston, Mass.	23.5-24	23.5-24	22-23.5	20.2	Minneapolis, Minn.	21.0	21.0	19.0	17.2
Hartford, Conn.	24.0	24.0	24.0	20.2	Kansas City, Mo.	23.0	23.0	21.0	17.8
New York, N. Y.	24.5	25.0	24.0	20.9	Omaha, Nebr.	22.0	21.5	20.0	17.4
Philadelphia, Pa.	23.5	23.5	22.0	18.9	Louisville, Ky.	25.0	25.0	23.0	19.7
Pittsburgh, Pa.	23.0	23.0	21.0	19.3	New Orleans, La.	25.0	25.0	24.0	20.7
Baltimore, Md.	23.5	23.5	22.5	18.8	Dallas, Texas	24.5	24.5	22.0	19.3
Washington, D. C.	23.5	23.5	22.5	19.4	Denver, Colo.	23.0	23.0	22.0	18.1
Jacksonville, Fla.	26.0	26.0	26.0	23.4	Salt Lake City, Utah	21.0	21.0	20.0	17.2
Cleveland, Ohio	22.0	22.0	21.0	17.8	Seattle, Wash.	23.0	22.0	20.0	18.3
Indianapolis, Ind.	23.0	23.0	21.0	18.0	Portland, Ore.	22.0	22.0	22.0	18.2
Chicago, Ill.	25.5	25.0	23.0	20.1	San Francisco, Calif.	22.5	22.5	20.0	18.9
Detroit, Mich.	22.5	22.5	21.5	18.0	Los Angeles, Calif.	22.5	22.5	20.0	18.6
Milwaukee, Wis.	21.0	20.0	19.0	16.6	25 cities average	23.2	23.1	21.7	18.9

1/ "Standard grade" refers to the grade of milk commonly sold in each market. Milk grades may vary from market to market because of variations of the factors which enter into grade determination.

2/ The prices listed are the latest reported to the Bureau of Agricultural Economics up to and including the tenth of the month.

Table 8.-FLUID MILK PRICE CHANGES - MARCH 1952

City	Dealers' buying prices F.O.B. City Class I Milk (3.5% fat basis)			Retail price per single quart delivered to homes		
	March 1952	February 1952	March 1951	March 1952	February 1952	March 1951
	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents
Middle Atlantic: 1/						
Binghamton, N. Y.	4.90	5.20	-	23 $\frac{1}{2}$	23	-
New York, N. Y.	6.22-6.46	6.43-6.67	6.40-6.64	24 $\frac{1}{2}$	25	24
East North Central: 2/						
Gary, Ind.	4.98	4.67	4.44	24	23 $\frac{1}{2}$	21
South Bend, Ind.	5.08	4.76	4.44	22	22 $\frac{1}{2}$	21 $\frac{1}{2}$
Chicago, Ill.	4.98	4.66	4.44	25 $\frac{1}{2}$	25	23 $\frac{1}{2}$
Rock Island, Ill.	5.18	4.86	4.50	21 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	19
Battle Creek, Mich.	5.20	4.93	4.80	21	21	20
Beloit, Wis.	4.98	4.57	4.44	22	21	20
Kenosha, Wis.	-	4.60	4.46	21	20	20
Madison, Wis.	5.11	4.53	4.50	20 $\frac{1}{2}$	19 $\frac{1}{2}$	19
Milwaukee, Wis.	4.94	4.62	4.40	21 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	19
Racine, Wis.	-	-	-	21	20 $\frac{1}{2}$	20
West North Central: 1/						
Duluth, Minn.	5.32	5.22	4.68	22	21	21
Kansas City, Mo.	6.04	5.66	4.79	23	23	21
St. Louis, Mo.	5.53	5.35	4.83	23-24	23-24	22
Omaha, Nebr.	-	5.40	4.76	22	21 $\frac{1}{2}$	20
Kansas City, Kans.	6.04	5.86	4.79	23	23	21
East South Central:						
Knoxville, Tenn.	4/6.12	5.94	5.01	25	25	23
West South Central: 1/						
Muskogee, Okla.	5.96	5.78	-	-	24	-
Oklahoma City, Okla.	5.96	6.23	5.50	25 $\frac{1}{2}$	25 $\frac{1}{2}$	25
Tulsa, Okla.	5.96	5.78	5.50	-	-	-
Pacific: 3/						
Bremerton, Wash.	6.00	5.75	5.06	23	22 $\frac{1}{2}$	20
Everett, Wash.	5.88	5.70	5.00	23	22	20
Seattle, Wash.	5.88	5.70	5.14	23	22	20

1/ All changes in this area effective March 1.

2/ Except for single quart price in Chicago effective March 5, and in Battle Creek and Beloit where effective dates were not reported, all changes in area became effective March 1.

3/ Except in Bremerton where date was not reported. All changes in area became effective March 1.

4/ Effective March 1.



Table 9.-Average Daily Sales of Fluid Whole Milk, Skim Milk Items and Butterfat in Fluid Cream in Certain Marketing Areas under Federal Orders for December 1951, January 1951 and 1952

Marketing Area	1951, January 1951 and 1952			Percentage		Daily Average	
	Dec.	Jan.	Jan.	Jan.1952	Jan.1952	Jan.	Jan.1952
	1951 2/	1952 2/	1951	of Dec. 1951*	of Jan. 1951*	1952*	of Jan. 1951*
		1000 lb.			Percent	1000 lb.	Percent
WHOLE MILK 1/							
Boston, Mass. 3/	1,658	1,898	9/1,882	102	101	XXXX	XXXX
Chicago, Ill. 4/	4,894	4,951	4,787	101	103	XXXX	XXXX
Cincinnati, Ohio	501	519	506	104	103	XXXX	XXXX
Cleveland, Ohio	1,254	1,246	1,215	99	103	XXXX	XXXX
Columbus, Ohio	400	410	393	103	104	XXXX	XXXX
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	434	446	9/426	103	105	XXXX	XXXX
Fall River, Mass. 3/	107	106	107	100	100	XXXX	XXXX
Fort Wayne, Ind. 3/	126	126	9/125	100	101	XXXX	XXXX
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans. 3/	577	587	9/568	102	103	XXXX	XXXX
Louisville, Ky.	459	469	460	102	102	XXXX	XXXX
Milwaukee, Wis.	825	839	817	102	103	XXXX	XXXX
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	1,028	1,055	9/1,015	103	104	XXXX	XXXX
Nashville, Tenn.	255	262	249	103	105	XXXX	XXXX
New Orleans, La. 3/	441	451	429	102	105	XXXX	XXXX
New York, N. Y. 5/	8,332	8,384	8,410	101	100	XXXX	XXXX
Omaha-Coun.Bluffs,Nebr.-Ia.3/	255	255	9/249	100	102	XXXX	XXXX
Philadelphia, Pa. 3/	1,898	1,924	1,938	101	99	XXXX	XXXX
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.	245	245	229	100	107	XXXX	XXXX
St. Louis, Mo.	9/919	924	9/13/881	101	105	XXXX	XXXX
Sioux City, Ia.	72	72	72	101	100	XXXX	XXXX
Springfield, Mass. 3/	372	379	372	102	102	XXXX	XXXX
South Bend-LaPorte, Ind.	185	185	9/201	100	92	XXXX	XXXX
Toledo, Ohio	384	382	354	100	108	XXXX	XXXX
Topeka, Kans.	80	81	73	101	111	XXXX	XXXX
Tulsa, Okla.	193	202	193	104	105	XXXX	XXXX
Wichita, Kans.	169	174	9/150	103	116	XXXX	XXXX
TOTAL	9/26,263*	26,574*	9/26,099*	101.2	101.8	XXXX	XXXX
BUTTERFAT IN FLUID CREAM 1/ 6/							
Boston, Mass.	Not available		21.7	-	-	-	-
Chicago, Ill. 4/	50.9	45.8	9/48.5	90	94	XXXX	XXXX
Cincinnati, Ohio 7/	3.5	2.6	9/2.7	75	97	XXXX	XXXX
Cleveland, Ohio	11.3	9.2	9.9	81	94	XXXX	XXXX
Columbus, Ohio	2.8	2.4	9/2.6	87	95	XXXX	XXXX
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	2.6	2.1	2.3	83	92	XXXX	XXXX
Fall River, Mass. 3/	.7	.6	.6	87	101	XXXX	XXXX
Fort Wayne, Ind. 3/	.7	.6	.7	82	90	XXXX	XXXX
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans. 3/	4.4	3.3	3.5	76	96	XXXX	XXXX
Louisville, Ky.	2.5	1.6	1.7	62	95	XXXX	XXXX
Milwaukee, Wis.	6.0	5.0	5.1	83	99	XXXX	XXXX
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	12.5	11.2	11.7	90	96	XXXX	XXXX
Nashville, Tenn.	1.5	.9	.9	63	99	XXXX	XXXX
New Orleans, La. 3/	2.0	1.5	1.5	75	99	XXXX	XXXX
New York, N. Y. 8/	68.1	59.7	68.6	88	87	XXXX	XXXX
Omaha-Coun.Bluffs, Nebr.-Ia.3/	2.5	2.1	2.3	86	94	XXXX	XXXX
Philadelphia, Pa. 3/	12.6	11.8	12.0	93	98	XXXX	XXXX
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.	2.2	1.9	1.8	87	102	XXXX	XXXX
St. Louis, Mo.	9/7.7	5.4	9/13/5.6	70	96	XXXX	XXXX
Sioux City, Ia.	.8	.7	.7	88	93	XXXX	XXXX
Springfield, Mass.	Not available		2.2	-	-	XXXX	XXXX
South Bend-LaPorte, Ind.	1.3	1.0	9/1.2	81	86	XXXX	XXXX
Toledo, Ohio	3.3	2.8	3.0	85	94	XXXX	XXXX
Topeka, Kans.	.6	.5	.4	79	109	XXXX	XXXX
Tulsa, Okla.	1.2	1.0	1.2	89	89	XXXX	XXXX
Wichita, Kans.	1.5	1.3	9/1.2	85	105	XXXX	XXXX
TOTAL	9/203.2*	175.2*	10/189.7*	86.2	92.4	XXXX	XXXX



Table 9.--(Cont'd) Average Daily Sales of Skim Milk Items in Certain Marketing Areas under Federal Orders for December 1951, January 1951 and 1952 Page 13

Marketing Area	Dec. 1951 2/	Jan. 1952 2/	Jan. 1951	Percentage		Daily Average Jan. 1952*	Average of Jan. 1951*
				Jan. 1952 of Dec. 1951*	Jan. 1952 of Jan. 1951*		
				Percent	Percent	1000 lb.	Percent
SKIM MILK ITEMS 1/11/							
Boston, Mass. 3/	40.7	43.5	9/43.9	107	99	xxx	xxx
Chicago, Ill.	307.3	339.0	9/284.7	110	119	xxx	xxx
Cincinnati, Ohio	53.4	59.0	9/58.8	110	100	xxx	xxx
Cleveland, Ohio	85.9	93.7	9/86.1	109	109	xxx	xxx
Columbus, Ohio 12/	32.4	35.8	9/32.7	111	110	xxx	xxx
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	36.9	41.9	3/39.4	114	106	xxx	xxx
Fall River, Mass. 3/	4.5	4.3	9/5.1	96	85	xxx	xxx
Fort Wayne, Ind. 3/	9.6	10.0	9/9.6	104	104	xxx	xxx
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans. 3/	50.8	57.7	9/51.8	114	111	xxx	xxx
Louisville, Ky.	39.4	38.5	9/39.4	98	98	xxx	xxx
Milwaukee, Wis.	53.1	56.4	9/52.1	106	108	xxx	xxx
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	61.4	65.3	9/60.3	106	108	xxx	xxx
Nashville, Tenn.	51.7	58.3	9/57.2	113	102	xxx	xxx
New Orleans, La. 3/	23.3	25.2	9/21.9	108	115	xxx	xxx
Omaha-Coun. Bluffs, Ia.-Ibb. 3/	21.2	23.1	9/20.8	109	111	xxx	xxx
Philadelphia, Pa. 3/	85.3	92.0	9/89.5	108	103	xxx	xxx
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.	19.8	21.4	9/15.6	108	137	xxx	xxx
St. Louis, Mo.	9/68.8	74.8	13/73.2	109	102	xxx	xxx
Sioux City, Ia.	6.2	6.4	9/7.2	104	90	xxx	xxx
South Bend-LaPorte, Ind.	12.7	12.7	9/14.9	100	86	xxx	xxx
Toledo, Ohio	35.3	38.2	9/37.7	108	101	xxx	xxx
Topeka, Kans.	5.3	6.3	9/4.7	119	135	xxx	xxx
Tulsa, Okla.	19.5	20.8	9/23.2	107	89	xxx	xxx
Wichita, Kans.	16.1	17.9	9/15.9	111	113	xxx	xxx
TOTAL	9/1,140.4*	1,242.0*	9/1,145.7*	108.9	108.4	xxx	xxx

- \* Computed from unrounded figures. 1/ Products sold on routes outside of marketing areas included except where noted. Sales by handlers subject to another Federal Order are not always included in data for market in which distributed. 2/ Preliminary. 3/ No significant quantities of outside sales included. 4/ Includes items sold in the Metropolitan District. 5/ Includes all whole milk shipped into the marketing area. 6/ Includes sweet and sour cream and milk and cream mixtures containing 6% or more butterfat. 7/ Includes all cream disposed of outside the marketing area. 8/ Does not include storage cream sold for fluid use. 9/ Revised. 10/ Sales data for the Boston and Springfield, Mass. areas excluded for purposes of comparison. 11/ Includes fluid items such as plain and flavored skim milk and buttermilk which do not meet the butterfat content requirement for whole milk and flavored whole milk. 12/ Plain skim excluded. 13/ Can not be compared directly with January 1952 because of increase in the marketing area, effective Dec. 1, 1951.

#### STATISTICAL NOTE

Starting in this issue of the Fluid Milk and Cream Report, the monthly sales and receipts data for certain marketing areas under Federal Orders have been expanded by the addition of Springfield and Fall River, Mass., Milwaukee, Wis., Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia., Sioux City, Ia. and Tulsa, Okla. This sample of 26 marketing areas represents a consuming population of approximately 31 million people. This population estimate is based on Bureau of the Census releases for April 1950 and the 1950 definition of the marketing areas under the terms of the particular orders. The 26 Federal Order marketing areas provide a 32 percent sample of the Nation's urban population of 96 million in April 1950. It should be noted that there is no representation in this sample of markets in the South Atlantic, Mountain and Pacific divisions, and this fact should be kept in mind when using the data for analysis of national trends.

Another change instituted at this time has been the deletion of New York and St. Louis from the category of markets for which segregated in-area sales data are available. Investigation has indicated that the St. Louis sales data contain significant quantities of out-of-area sales. The New York market sales data do not include storage cream used for sour fluid cream purposes and therefore are inadequate to represent changes in sales of all fluid cream for that area.

(Usual Monthly Sales Comments begin on page 15)



Table 10.-Average Daily Receipts of Milk From Producers, Number of Producers, and Average Daily Receipts per Producer in Certain Marketing Areas under Federal Orders for December 1951, January 1951 and 1952

Marketing Area	Average Daily Receipts of Milk from Producers		Number of Producers		Average Daily Receipts per Producer	
	December 1951 2/	January 1951	December 1951 2/	January 1951	December 1951 2/	January 1951
		1000 lb.		Number	Pounds	Pounds
Boston, Mass	3,133	3,172	95	12,346	244	253
Chicago, Ill.	8,590	9,392	95	22,927	378	409
Cincinnati, Ohio	753	809	104	5,018	150	161
Cleveland, Ohio	1,581	1,664	103	6,888	231	242
Columbus, Ohio	506	531	99	2,094	240	254
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	550	587	104	2,359	233	249
Fall River, Mass.	135	140	105	354	370	390
Fort Wayne, Ind. 1/	210	236	112	1,291	178	183
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	676	702	90	4/2,823	250	259
Louisville, Ky.	571	598	101	2,035	288	302
Milwaukee, Wis.	1,028	1,071	98	3,091	333	346
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn. 1/	1,741	1,818	90	5,475	288	332
Nashville, Tenn.	315	340	112	1,085	294	314
New Orleans, La.	545	602	94	2,595	199	223
New York, N. Y.	15,340	16,211	103	48,773	313	332
Omaha-Coun. Bluffs, Neb.-La. 1/	299	318	84	49,816	193	207
Philadelphia, Pa.	2,695	2,799	102	8,401	319	333
Quad Cities, Ill.-La.	287	308	83	948	304	325
St. Louis, Mo	4/1,015	1,082	99	3,923	4/258	276
Sioux City, Ia.	111	122	109	503	221	243
Springfield, Mass.	435	454	98	1,454	297	308
South Bend-LaPorte, Ind.	197	199	95	751	259	265
Toledo, Ohio	393	422	97	1,884	218	233
Topeka, Kans.	101	108	103	391	244	255
Tulsa, Okla.	271	278	99	770	341	349
Wichita, Kans.	258	264	102	880	296	301
TOTAL	4/41,736*	44,227*	99.0	140,549	3/295	3/315

\*Computed from unrounded figures.

1/ Includes producer-handlers.

2/ All data are preliminary.

3/ Weighted average.

4/ Revised.



Page 15

Sales of Milk and Cream and Receipts  
from Producers in Certain Marketing Areas Under Federal Orders  
January 1952, with Comparisons

---

The combined average daily sales of fluid whole milk in 26 marketing areas operating under Federal Milk Orders during January were 1.2 percent larger than in December and 1.8 percent larger than in January 1951.

Combined average daily sales of fluid whole milk in 8 of these 26 marketing areas (see footnote 3, Table 9), whose data do not include significant quantities of out-of-area sales, during January were 1.7 percent larger than the preceding month and 1.0 percent above a year earlier. Compared with December, 3 markets reported no change while the remaining 5 showed slight increases. Changes from January 1951 indicated a drop of 1 percent in Philadelphia, increases ranging from 1 percent in Boston and Ft. Wayne to 5 percent in New Orleans and no change in Fall River, Mass. The New York City marketing area reported sales up 1 percent from a month earlier but unchanged from a year ago.

Combined average daily sales of butterfat in fluid cream in 24 marketing areas (Boston and Springfield, Mass. excluded) during January were 13.8 percent smaller than in December and 7.6 percent smaller than in January 1951. Combined average daily sales in 6 of these 24 marketing areas (see footnote 3, Table 9), whose data do not include significant quantities of out-of-area sales, were 13 percent smaller during January than in December and 2.8 percent smaller than in January a year ago. All 6 markets indicated smaller sales than a month earlier, ranging from 7 percent in Philadelphia to 25 percent in New Orleans. Changes from January 1951 were downward in 5 of the 6 markets while the Fall River market showed an increase of 1 percent. Data for the New York City market, which do not include sales of storage cream for fluid use, indicate a drop of 12 percent from December and 13 percent from January 1951.

Combined average daily sales of skim milk items in 24 marketing areas (New York and Springfield, Mass. excluded) during January were 8.9 percent larger than in December and 8.4 percent larger than a year earlier. In 7 of these 24 markets (see footnote 3, Table 9) whose data do not include significant quantities of out-of-area sales, a gain of 8.7 percent was indicated from December and 5.4 percent from January 1952.

Combined average daily receipts of milk from producers in the 26 markets during January were 6 percent higher than in December but 1 percent below the level of January 1951. All markets in the sample indicated larger receipts from producers than in the preceding month ranging from 1 percent in Boston and South Bend to 13 percent in Ft. Wayne. Changes from a year ago were upward in 10 markets ranging from 1 percent in Louisville, Ky. to 12 percent in Ft. Wayne and Nashville. Decreases from a year earlier ranged from 1 percent in St. Louis and Tulsa to 17 percent in the Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia. market.

The combined number of regularly approved producers in the 26 markets was down fractionally from December and 3.5 percent fewer than in January last year. Compared with a year earlier, producer numbers were higher in 5 markets, unchanged in 1 market, and down in the remaining 20 markets. Ft. Wayne with an increase of 18 percent and Quad Cities with a decrease of 37 percent represented the extremes.

Combined average daily receipts per producer in the 26 markets during January were about 7 percent greater than in December and 3 percent larger than in January 1951. Gains from a month earlier ranged from 2 to 8 percent in 23 markets. Increases in the 3 remaining markets were 10 percent in Sioux City, 12 percent in New Orleans, and 15 percent in Minneapolis-St. Paul. Compared with last January, increases were indicated in 16 markets ranging from 1 percent in Nashville, South Bend-LaPorte, and Toledo to 32 percent in Quad Cities. Milwaukee and Springfield indicated receipts per producer at the level of last January while decreases were reported from 8 markets ranging from 1 percent in St. Louis to 6 percent in Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.



Page 16  
U. S. Department of Agriculture  
Washington 25, D. C.  
OFFICIAL BUSINESS  
BAE-FM-3/17/52-4400  
Permit No. 1001

Penalty for private use to avoid  
payment of postage \$300

The Library, USDA  
Current Serials Record  
542 Rm. 1052, So. Bldg.

-----  
(Continued from page 10)

Duluth, Minn. and  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent per quart in Omaha, Neb. Class I prices were reported up 10 cents per hundredweight in the former city but were not available in the latter.

In the East South Central region, the only price change reported was an increase of 18 cents per hundredweight in Class I prices in Knoxville. Retail prices in Knoxville were reported unchanged.

Reported changes from the West South Central Region were an 18 cents per hundredweight increase to producers in the Muskogee and Tulsa, Okla. markets and a 27 cents decrease in Class I prices to producers supplying the Oklahoma City market.

In the Pacific Coast States, the Puget Sound, Wash. markets of Everett and Seattle reported increases of 1 cent per quart at retail and of 18 cents per hundredweight in Class I prices. Bremerton, Wash. reported a  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent per quart increase at retail and an increase of 25 cents per hundredweight to producers.

Milk production on United States farms during February was estimated at 8.7 billion pounds. While the monthly output was up 2 percent from February 1951 (because of the 29 day month in 1952) daily output was down  $1\frac{1}{2}$  percent from a year earlier. On a per capita basis, February milk production was 1.92 pounds per person per day, the lowest for the month in the last 15 years. Production per cow in crop reporters' herds on March 1 was reported at 16.15 pounds per cow in herd, the third highest for the date in over 25 years of record. Milk cows in production on March 1 represented 67.2 percent of all milk cows in crop reporters' herds on that date - unchanged from last year and slightly above the 10-year average for March 1.

